

EXHIBIT A

PUBLIC VERSION

Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 57
Date: May 11, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00155
Patent 10,416,961 B2

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, STACEY G. WHITE, and JASON M. REPKO,
Administrative Patent Judges.

PER CURIAM.

JUDGMENT

Final Written Decision
Determining Some Challenged Claims Unpatentable
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)
Dismissing Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude
37 C.F.R. § 42.64
Granting Patent Owner's and Petitioner's Motions to Seal
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14, 42.54

PUBLIC VERSION

IPR2021-00155
Patent 10,416,961 B2

In summary:

Claims	35 U.S.C. §	References/ Basis	Claims Shown Unpatentable	Claims Not Shown Unpatentable
1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14	103(a)	Dockser	1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14	
1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 21, 24, 25	103(a)	Dockser, Tong	1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 21, 24, 25	
1–5, 10, 13, 14	103(a)	Dockser, MacMillan ¹¹		3
1–5, 10, 13, 14, 21, 23– 25	103(a)	Dockser, Tong, MacMillan ¹²		3, 23
Overall Outcome			1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 21, 24, 25	3, 23

¹¹ As explained above, given our disposition of the grounds based on Dockser and the combination of Dockser and Tong, we do not reach Petitioner’s alternative ground asserting that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, and 14 are unpatentable over Dockser and MacMillan. *See supra* Section II.E.

¹² As explained above, given our disposition of the grounds based on Dockser and the combination of Dockser and Tong, we do not reach Petitioner’s alternative ground asserting that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 21, 24, and 25 are unpatentable over Dockser, Tong, and MacMillan. *See supra* Section II.G.

IPR2021-00155
Patent 10,416,961 B2

IV. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 21, 24, and 25 of the '961 patent have been shown to be unpatentable, and claims 3 and 23 of the '961 patent have not been shown to be unpatentable; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' Motions to Seal (Papers 52 and 54) are *granted*, and the unredacted confidential versions of the demonstrative exhibits (Exhibits 1098 and 2054) shall remain under seal pursuant to the default protective order previously entered in the instant proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDER that Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude (Paper 46) is dismissed.

This is a final decision. Parties to the proceeding seeking judicial review of the decision must comply with the notice and service requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 90.2.